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Publish Subscribe Systems

- A commonly used asynchronous communication pattern that decouples producers and consumers of data

- The asynchronous message passing mechanism gives applications the flexibility to decide the logic of how to react to events

Twitter

Workflow engine
Publish Subscribe Systems (cont.)

Different types of jobs
sharing similar tasks
Cloud-based Pub/Sub Systems: Challenges

- Heterogeneity of jobs that share common tasks
  - How to model complex interactions between topics?
- Changing and bursty workload
  - Static resource allocation & rule-based provisioning is not suitable
- Flexible provisioning
  - E.g., QoS-based, cost-based provisioning
Related Work

- Out-of-the box pub/sub systems do not support executing workflows
  - Resource management is done manually by user

- Exploit skewness of workload to achieve high-performance (IPDPS’11)
- Ad-hoc resource provisioning (ICDCS’15)
- Cost-effective deployment of pub/sub system with known workload (ICDCS’14)
Proposed Solutions

- Propose new publish subscribe-based system to support executing heterogeneous workflows
- Model resource allocation for cloud-based pub/sub system as optimization problem
- Propose a performance modeling-based approach for resource management (using queuing theory)
- Propose efficient resource allocation algorithms
TEM data processing workflow

- **A**
  - Extract
- **B**
  - Classify
- **C**
  - Index

Job type | From | To
--- | --- | ---
1 | A | B
1 | B | C
1 | Start | A
1 | C | End
... | ... | ...

Jobs:
- **A**
  - Job
  - Sub | Pub | 1
  - A’s Consumers
- **B**
  - Job
  - Sub | Pub | 1
  - B’s Consumers
- **C**
  - Job
  - Sub | Pub | 1
  - C’s Consumers

**Front-end**
- Coordinator’s front-end
- Database / File system

**Control plane**
- Resource manager
- Job invoker
- Broker(s)

**Compute plane**
- Sub
- Pub
- 1

- **Job**
- Start | A | B | C | End
Resource Manager’s Components

- Job request rates
- Average response time
- Topics’ message queues and consumers statistics

Diagram:

- Resource scheduler
- Resource monitor
- Resource allocator
- Resource manager

A’s Consumers
B’s Consumers
C’s Consumers
Resource Management for Elastic Pub/Sub Systems

- **Approach**: Performance modeling-based resource optimization

- **Framework**:
  - **Step 1 (Optimization)**: Formulate resource management as optimization problems
  - **Step 2 (Modeling)**: Formally model the performance metrics of the system (e.g., response time)
  - **Step 3 (Solution)**: Efficiently solve the optimization problems to find optimal resource allocation strategies
Step 1: Resource Management as Optimization Problems

- Minimize cost, given QoS constraint

$$\arg\min_{\mathbf{m}} \quad F(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} F_j(m_j)$$

subject to  $$L(\mathbf{m}) \leq L_T; m_j \geq \left\lceil \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j} \right\rceil + 1$$

- Minimize time, given cost constraint

$$\arg\min_{\mathbf{m}} \quad L(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} L_j(m_j)$$

subject to  $$\sum_{j=1}^{J} m_j = M; m_j \geq \left\lceil \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j} \right\rceil + 1$$
Step 2: Performance Measure Modeling

- Performance measure: Work-in-progress (WIP) - total value of the expected number of requests in the system:

\[
L(m) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \nu_j L_j(m_j)
\]

- Model the pub/sub system as a queuing network with each topic as a GI/G/m queue
Step 3: Efficient Resource Provisioning Algorithm

- Exploit the convexity of performance measures

**Algorithm 1 Greedy Resource Allocation**

1: **procedure** \texttt{GREEDYRESALLOC}
2: Initial allocation $m^0_j = 1, \forall 1 \leq j \leq J$
3: Initialize iteration count $i = 1$
4: **while** The optimization constraint is satisfied **do**
5: Find the topic $j^*$ that maximizes the allocation benefit
6: Add one consumer to most benefit topic $m^{i}_{j^*} = m^{i-1}_{j^*} + 1$
7: Update iteration count $i = i + 1$
8: Return allocation solution $m^i$
Evaluation: System Stack

Front-end

Leverage Clowder’s Webapp & APIs

Control plane

Resource managers & other control plane programs implemented in Python

- RabbitMQ as message queue
- Consumers implemented as Docker’s container.
- Kubernetes is used for container orchestration

Compute plane
Evaluation: Scientific Computing Workload

- Case study: Executing scientific workflows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job type</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>$ca_i^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>![Diagram 1]</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>![Diagram 2]</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>![Diagram 3]</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>$\mu_j$</th>
<th>$cs_j^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Unpacking digital microscope output files (e.g., DM3, HDF5)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Extracting and analyzing metadata from input file</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Extracting and analyzing image from input file</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Classify the input file into appropriate experiment type and predict if the experiment is successful or not</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Impact of Increasing Workload

- Average response times increases when the rates of incoming workload increase.
- Different job types response differently to increasing workload.
Results of Optimization Tasks

Our proposed approach achieves better performance in both optimization tasks.
Efficient Real-time Resource Provisioning

Our proposed approach efficiently provision the resources to cope with bursty workload.
Future directions

- Make our workload-aware resource manager compatible with other standard resource manager used in practice (e.g., YARN, Mesos)
Thank you!
**Performance Measures**

- **Work-in-progress (WIP):** Total value of the expected number of jobs in the network
  \[
  L(m) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \nu_j L_j(m_j)
  \]

- **Cost of resource:** A convex, non-decreasing function of number of servers
  \[
  F_j(m_j)
  \]
Simplified model: Multiclass Jackson OQN

- Each topic in pub/sub system is a M/M/m queue
  - Be able to aggregate all classes as a unique class
  - Steady-state behavior at each station:

\[
L_j(\mu_j, \lambda_j, m_j) = \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j m_j} \left( \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j} \right)^{m_j} \pi(0) \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j m_j}\right)^2 m_j! + \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j}
\]

with:

\[
\pi(0) = \sum_{t=0}^{m_j-1} \left( \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j} \right)^t t! + \frac{\left( \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j} \right)^{m_j}}{(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j m_j}) m_j!} \right\}^{-1}
\]
Realistic model: Generalized Multiclass Jackson OQN

- Each topic in pub/sub system is a GI/G/m queue
  - Could not obtain exact analysis of the network

- Approximate steady-state behavior using parametric decomposition:
  - Step 1: Analyze the interactions between topics
  - Step 2: Analyze each topic individually as a GI/G/m queue
    
    $$L_j(m_j) = m_j \rho_j + \lambda_j \left( \frac{ca_j^2 + cs_j^2}{2} \right) EW(M/M/m_j)$$

  - Step 3: Combine the results to obtain measures for the overall network